**Template #85-4: Residues in livestock *(Version [8.2]-[July 2023])***

The following table gives a detailed description of the type of information prompted for by the data entry fields.

| **Line no.** | **Field name** | **Field type**  **Display type** | **Picklist**  **Freetext template** | **Help text** | **Remarks**  **Guidance**  **Cross-reference** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Administrative data** | **Header 1** |  |  |  |
|  |  | Confidentiality  Display: Basic |  |  |  |
|  | Endpoint | List sup. (picklist with remarks)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - residues in fish and fish products - residues in livestock | From the picklist select the relevant endpoint addressed by this study summary. In some cases there is only one endpoint title, which may be entered automatically depending on the software application.  If multiple study types are covered by the same data entry form, the specific study type should be selected. If none matches, select the more generic endpoint description '<Generic endpoint>, other' (e.g. Skin irritation / corrosion, other) and give an explanation in the adjacent text field. The generic endpoint title reflects the title of the corresponding OECD Harmonised Template (OHT).  Please note: For (Q)SAR studies, if an 'in silico' option does not exist, the generic endpoint title should be selected, normally with no need to fill in the adjacent text field, as '(Q)SAR' needs to be indicated in field 'Type of information' and the model should be described in field 'Justification of non-standard information' or 'Attached justification'. A specific endpoint title may be used, if addressed by the (Q)SAR information, i.e. the model behind has been validated by experimental data addressing this endpoint.  Note: For the purpose of OHTs, an 'endpoint' is defined in the rather broad sense as an observable or measurable inherent property of a chemical substance which may be specified by the relevant regulatory framework as 'information requirement' (e.g. Boiling point, Sub-chronic toxicity: oral, Fish early-life stage toxicity). In a narrower sense, the term '(eco)toxicity endpoint' refers to an outcome or effect observed in a study. |  |
|  | Type of information | List sup. (picklist with remarks)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - experimental study - experimental study planned - experimental study planned (based on read-across) - (Q)SAR - calculation (if not (Q)SAR) - read-across based on grouping of substances (category approach) - read-across from supporting substance (structural analogue or surrogate) - read-across from similar mixture/product - mixture rules calculation - weight of evidence justification/conclusion - not specified - other: | Select the appropriate type of information, e.g. ' experimental study', ' experimental study planned' or, if alternatives to testing apply, '(Q)SAR', 'read-across ...'. In the case of calculated data, the value 'calculation (if not (Q)SAR)' should only be chosen if the study report does not clearly indicate whether it is based on '(Q)SAR'.  If the information is taken from a handbook or review article, select the relevant item, e.g. ‘experimental study’, if this is provided in the information source. Otherwise select ‘not specified’. Please note: In field ‘Reference type’ the option ‘review article or handbook’ should be selected. In general, the option 'not specified' should be selected if the submitter lacks the knowledge of the type of information. The option 'other:' can be used if another than a pre-defined item applies.  In the case of read-across, follow the instructions related to the relevant legislation, for instance as to whether the (robust) study summary should be entered in a separate data set defined for the read-across (source) substance and referenced in the target substance dataset.  If 'experimental study planned' or 'experimental study planned (based on read-across)' is indicated (in some legislations also defined as 'testing proposal' or 'undertaking of intended submission'), the submitter should include as much information as possible on the planned study in order to support the evaluation of the proposal. Typically, this would include at least the test guideline, information on the test material, the species and the route of administration in the corresponding distinct fields, as appropriate.  Consult any programme-specific guidance (e.g. OECD Programme, Pesticides NAFTA or EU REACH) on whether specific fields should be completed and/or further details should be attached in field 'Attached background material'. |  |
|  | Adequacy of study | List (picklist)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - key study - supporting study - weight of evidence - disregarded due to major methodological deficiencies - other information | Indicate the adequacy of a (robust) study summary in terms of usefulness for hazard/risk assessment purposes depending on the relevant legislation.  Note: This field is only applicable (or active) if neither 'waiving of standard information' nor 'experimental study planned' has been selected in field 'Type of information'.  Explanation:   - key study: In general, a key study is the study that has been identified as most suitable to describe an endpoint from the perspective of quality, completeness and representativity of data.   - supporting study: Any other adequate study that is considered supportive for the key study or key studies.   - weight of evidence: A record that contributes to a weight of evidence justification for the non-submission of a particular (adequate) study. The weight of evidence justification is normally endpoint-related, i.e. based on all available records included in the weight of evidence evaluation. A short reasoning for why a given record is used in this respect can be provided in field 'Detailed justification / remarks'.   - disregarded due to major methodological deficiencies: study that demonstrates a higher concern than the key study/ies, but is not used as key study because of flaws in the methodology or documentation. This phrase should be selected for justifying why a potentially critical result has not been used for the hazard assessment. The lines of argumentation should be provided in field 'Rationale for reliability incl. deficiencies', accompanied by the appropriate reliability score.  - other information: any other non-relevant information which does not need to be flagged specifically as 'disregarded due to major methodological deficiencies'.  Consult any programme-specific guidance (e.g. OECD Programme, Pesticides NAFTA or EU REACH) on how to use this field. | **Guidance for field condition:** Condition: Field active only if 'Type of information' is not 'experimental study planned' and not ‘experimental study planned (based on read-across)’ and field 'Data waiving' is not populated (except for migrated data) |
|  | Robust study summary | Check box  Display: Basic |  | Set this flag if relevant for the respective regulatory programme or if otherwise useful as filter for printing or exporting records flagged as 'Robust Study Summary' or in combination with 'Adequacy of study'.   Explanation: The term 'Robust Study Summary' is actually used only to describe the technical content of a very detailed summary of an experimental study or of any other relevant information. It is a priori no synonym with the term 'Key study', although a key study should usually be submitted in the form of Robust Study Summary. However, a Robust Summary may also be useful for other adequate studies that are considered supportive of the key study or even for inadequate studies if they can be used for a weight-of-evidence analysis. Also for studies that are flawed, but indicate critical results, Robust Study Summaries highlighting the weaknesses of the studies need to be elaborated.   Consult any programme-specific guidance (e.g. OECD Programme, Pesticides NAFTA or EU REACH) on how to use this field. |  |
|  | Used for classification | Check box  Display: Basic |  | Set this flag if relevant for the respective regulatory programme or if otherwise useful as filter for printing or exporting records flagged as 'Used for classification'.  Explanation: In some use cases it may be necessary to indicate those records that are used for the classification of that substance, e.g. according to UN GHS. If not relevant, disregard this field.   Consult any programme-specific guidance (e.g. OECD Programme, Pesticides NAFTA or EU REACH) on how to use this field. |  |
|  | Used for SDS | Check box  Display: Basic |  | Set this flag if relevant for the respective regulatory programme or if otherwise useful as filter for printing or exporting records flagged as 'SDS information'.   Explanation: 'SDS' stands for Safety Data Sheet. In some use cases it may be necessary to indicate those records that are used for the compilation of SDS information. If not relevant, disregard this field.   Consult any programme-specific guidance (e.g. OECD Programme, Pesticides NAFTA or EU REACH) on how to use this field. |  |
|  | Study period: start date | Date  Display: Basic |  | If applicable indicate the period during which the study was conducted, i.e. start and end date.   Note: independent of the study period, the in-life period (i.e. the phase of a study following treatment in which the test system is alive/growing) may have to be specified for some toxicology endpoints. |  |
|  | End date | Date  Display: Basic |  |  |  |
|  | Remark | Text (255 char.)  Display: Basic |  |  |  |
|  | Reliability | List (picklist)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - 1 (reliable without restriction) - 2 (reliable with restrictions) - 3 (not reliable) - 4 (not assignable) - other: | Enter an appropriate reliability score, according to Klimisch et al. (1997):  1 = reliable without restrictions: “studies or data [...] generated according to generally valid and/or internationally accepted testing guidelines (preferably performed according to GLP) or in which the test parameters documented are based on a specific (national) testing guideline [...] or in which all parameters described are closely related/comparable to a guideline method.”  2 = reliable with restrictions: “studies or data [...] (mostly not performed according to GLP), in which the test parameters documented do not totally comply with the specific testing guideline, but are sufficient to accept the data or in which investigations are described which cannot be subsumed under a testing guideline, but which are nevertheless well documented and scientifically acceptable.”  3 = not reliable: “studies or data [...] in which there were interferences between the measuring system and the test substance or in which organisms/test systems were used which are not relevant in relation to the exposure (e.g. non-physiological pathways of application) or which were carried out or generated according to a method which is not acceptable, the documentation of which is not sufficient for assessment and which is not convincing for an expert judgment.”  4 = not assignable: “studies or data [...] which do not give sufficient experimental details and which are only listed in short abstracts or secondary literature (books, reviews, etc.).”  The 'other:' option may be selected if a different scoring system is used. Consult any programme-specific guidance (e.g. OECD Programme, Pesticides NAFTA or EU REACH) on how to use this field.  Note: This field is only applicable (or active) if neither 'waiving of standard information' nor 'experimental study planned' has been selected in field 'Type of information'.  Note: The term reliability defines the inherent quality of a test report or publication relating to preferably standardised methodology and the way the method and results are described. More detailed criteria can be selected in field 'Justification'. |  |
|  | Rationale for reliability incl. deficiencies | List sup. (picklist with remarks - 32,000 char.)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - guideline study - [Reliability 1] - comparable to guideline study - [Reliability 1] - test procedure in accordance with national standard methods - [Reliability 1] - test procedure in accordance with generally accepted scientific standards and described in sufficient detail - [Reliability 1] - guideline study without detailed documentation - [Reliability 2] - guideline study with acceptable restrictions - [Reliability 2] - comparable to guideline study with acceptable restrictions - [Reliability 2] - test procedure in accordance with national standard methods with acceptable restrictions - [Reliability 2] - study well documented, meets generally accepted scientific principles, acceptable for assessment - [Reliability 2] - accepted calculation method - [Reliability 2] - data from handbook or collection of data - [Reliability 2] - significant methodological deficiencies - [Reliability 3] - unsuitable test system - [Reliability 3] - abstract - [Reliability 4] - secondary literature - [Reliability 4] - documentation insufficient for assessment - [Reliability 4] - results derived from a valid (Q)SAR model and falling into its applicability domain, with adequate and reliable documentation / justification - [Reliability 1 or 2] - results derived from a valid (Q)SAR model and falling into its applicability domain, with limited documentation / justification - [Reliability 2, 3 or 4] - results derived from a valid (Q)SAR model, but not (completely) falling into its applicability domain, with adequate and reliable documentation / justification - [Reliability 2 or 3] - results derived from a (Q)SAR model, with limited documentation / justification, but validity of model and reliability of prediction considered adequate based on a generally acknowledged source - [Reliability 2 or 3] - results derived from a valid (Q)SAR model, but not (completely) falling into its applicability domain, and documentation / justification is limited - [Reliability 3 or 4] - results derived from a (Q)SAR model, with limited documentation / justification - [Reliability 4] - other: | Select an appropriate standard justification from the picklist, e.g. 'Comparable to guideline study with acceptable restrictions'. Additional explanations (e.g. deficiencies observed) can be entered in the related supplementary text field. Particularly if reliability scores 2 or 3 are assigned, indicate the concrete arguments for defending a study or relevant deficiencies.  For QSAR results (i.e. 'Type of information' is '(Q)SAR') some pre-defined phrases are provided for indicating if the prediction results are considered reliable based on the scientifically validity of the (Q)SAR model used, its applicability to the query substance, and the adequacy of reporting. Please note: If (Q)SAR results are flagged as key study in field 'Adequacy of study', the relevance of the model used for the regulatory endpoint should be documented in the field where the (Q)SAR model is described, i.e. 'Justification for type of information', 'Attached justification' or 'Cross-reference'. | **Guidance for field condition:** Condition: Field active only if 'Type of information' is not 'experimental study planned' and not ‘experimental study planned (based on read-across)’. Condition 1: If 'Type of information' is not '(Q)SAR': - guideline study - [Reliability 1] - comparable to guideline study - [Reliability 1] - test procedure in accordance with national standard methods - [Reliability 1] - test procedure in accordance with generally accepted scientific standards and described in sufficient detail - [Reliability 1] - guideline study without detailed documentation - [Reliability 2] - guideline study with acceptable restrictions - [Reliability 2] - comparable to guideline study with acceptable restrictions - [Reliability 2] - test procedure in accordance with national standard methods with acceptable restrictions - [Reliability 2] - study well documented, meets generally accepted scientific principles, acceptable for assessment - [Reliability 2] - accepted calculation method - [Reliability 2] - data from handbook or collection of data - [Reliability 2] - significant methodological deficiencies - [Reliability 3] - unsuitable test system - [Reliability 3] - abstract - [Reliability 4] - secondary literature - [Reliability 4] - documentation insufficient for assessment - [Reliability 4] Condition 2: If 'Type of information' = '(Q)SAR': - results derived from a valid (Q)SAR model and falling into its applicability domain, with adequate and reliable documentation / justification - [Reliability 1 or 2] - results derived from a valid (Q)SAR model and falling into its applicability domain, with limited documentation / justification - [Reliability 2, 3 or 4] - results derived from a valid (Q)SAR model, but not (completely) falling into its applicability domain, with adequate and reliable documentation / justification - [Reliability 2 or 3] - results derived from a (Q)SAR model, with limited documentation / justification, but validity of model and reliability of prediction considered adequate based on a generally acknowledged source - [Reliability 2 or 3] - results derived from a valid (Q)SAR model, but not (completely) falling into its applicability domain, and documentation / justification is limited - [Reliability 3 or 4] - results derived from a (Q)SAR model, with limited documentation / justification - [Reliability 4] - other: |
|  | Data waiving | List (picklist)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - study technically not feasible - study scientifically not necessary / other information available - exposure considerations - study waived due to provisions of other regulation - other justification | If appropriate, indicate here that the study has been waived, i.e. not performed. Select the basis from the picklist (e.g. 'study technically not feasible' or 'other justification'). Include a more detailed justification in the field 'Justification for data waiving' and, as needed, in field 'Justification for type of information', 'Attached justification' and/or 'Cross-reference'. Please note: the option 'study scientifically not necessary / other information available' covers cases where it can be justified that performance of a specific study prescribed by the relevant legislation is scientifically not necessary because reliable information is provided in other part(s) of the submission document.  The option 'study waived due to provisions of other regulation' can be used for indicating that another, overlapping regulation allows or requires the waiving of a specific information requirement. This should then be detailed in the justification fields.  If waiving is based on several lines of argumentation (e.g. ‘exposure considerations’ and ‘study scientifically not necessary / other information available’), create separate records for each.  Consult any programme-specific guidance (e.g. OECD Programme, Pesticides NAFTA or EU REACH) on how to use data waivers. | **Guidance for field condition:** Condition: Deactivate this field if any of the following fields is populated: 'Type of information', 'Adequacy of study', 'Reliability', 'Rationale for reliability'. |
|  | Justification for data waiving | List multi. (multi-select list with remarks - 32,000 char.)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - other: | In addition to the more generic justification selected in the preceding field 'Data waiving', it is highly recommended to provide a detailed justification. To this end you can either select one or multiple specific standard phrase(s) if it/they give an appropriate rationale of the description given in the preceding field 'Data waiving' or 'other:' and enter free text. Additional specific explanations should be provided if the pre-defined phrase(s) do no sufficiently describe the justification.  More details can be provided using the following fields:  - Text field adjacent to this field 'Justification for data waiving' (available after selecting any picklist item in this field);  - Field 'Justification for type of information';  - Field 'Attached justification';  - Cross-reference (for referencing / linking to a justification or information referred to in the justification which is stored in another record, e.g. a record describing physico-chemical properties information used to support a data waiver)  Please note: The pre-defined phrases are not necessarily exhaustive and may not always apply. Consult the guidance documents and waiving options in the relevant regulatory frameworks. If no suitable phrase is available from the picklist, enter a free text justification using the 'other:' option. | **Guidance for field condition:** Condition: Deactivate this field if any of the following fields is populated: 'Type of information', 'Adequacy of study', 'Reliability', 'Rationale for reliability'. |
|  | Justification for type of information | Text template  Display: Basic | **Freetext template:  Option 1 Type 'Waiving of standard information'** JUSTIFICATION FOR DATA WAIVING [Specific explanation in addition to field 'Justification for data waiving'] **Option 2 Type 'Experimental study planned / Testing proposal on vertebrate animals'** TESTING PROPOSAL ON VERTEBRATE ANIMALS [Please provide information for all of the points below. The information should be specific to the endpoint for which testing is proposed. Note that for testing proposals addressing testing on vertebrate animals under the REACH Regulation this document will be published on the ECHA website along with the third party consultation on the testing proposal(s).]  NON-CONFIDENTIAL NAME OF SUBSTANCE: - Name of the substance on which testing is proposed to be carried out - Name of the substance for which the testing proposal will be used [if different from tested substance]  CONSIDERATIONS THAT THE GENERAL ADAPTATION POSSIBILITIES OF ANNEX XI OF THE REACH REGULATION ARE NOT ADEQUATE TO GENERATE THE NECESSARY INFORMATION [please address all points below]: - Available GLP studies - Available non-GLP studies - Historical human/control data - (Q)SAR - In vitro methods - Weight of evidence - Grouping and read-across - Substance-tailored exposure driven testing [if applicable] - Approaches in addition to above [if applicable] - Other reasons [if applicable]  CONSIDERATIONS THAT THE SPECIFIC ADAPTATION POSSIBILITIES OF ANNEXES VI TO X (AND COLUMN 2 THEREOF) OF THE REACH REGULATION ARE NOT ADEQUATE TO GENERATE THE NECESSARY INFORMATION: - [free text]  FURTHER INFORMATION ON TESTING PROPOSAL IN ADDITION TO INFORMATION PROVIDED IN THE MATERIALS AND METHODS SECTION: - Details on study design / methodology proposed [if relevant] **Option 3 Type 'QSAR prediction'** 1. SOFTWARE  2. MODEL (incl. version number)  3. SMILES OR OTHER IDENTIFIERS USED AS INPUT FOR THE MODEL  4. SCIENTIFIC VALIDITY OF THE (Q)SAR MODEL [[Explain how the model fulfils the OECD principles for (Q)SAR model validation. Consider attaching the QMRF and/or QPRF or providing a link] - Defined endpoint: - Unambiguous algorithm: - Defined domain of applicability: - Appropriate measures of goodness-of-fit and robustness and predictivity: - Mechanistic interpretation:  5. APPLICABILITY DOMAIN [Explain how the substance falls within the applicability domain of the model] - Descriptor domain: - Structural domain: - Mechanistic domain: - Similarity with analogues in the training set: - Other considerations (as appropriate):  6. ADEQUACY OF THE RESULT [Explain how the prediction fits the purpose of classification and labelling and/or risk assessment] **Option 4 Type 'Read-across (analogue)'** REPORTING FORMAT FOR THE ANALOGUE APPROACH [Please provide information for all of the points below. Indicate if further information is included as attachment to the same record, or elsewhere in the dataset (insert links in 'Cross-reference' table)]  1. HYPOTHESIS FOR THE ANALOGUE APPROACH [Describe why the read-across can be performed (e.g. common functional group(s), common precursor(s)/breakdown product(s) or common mechanism(s) of action]  2. SOURCE AND TARGET CHEMICAL(S) (INCLUDING INFORMATION ON PURITY AND IMPURITIES) [Provide here, if relevant, additional information to that included in the Test material section of the source and target records]  3. ANALOGUE APPROACH JUSTIFICATION [Summarise here based on available experimental data how these results verify that the read-across is justified]  4. DATA MATRIX **Option 5 Type 'Read-across (category)'** REPORTING FORMAT FOR THE CATEGORY APPROACH [Please provide information for all of the points below addressing endpoint-specific elements that were not already covered by the overall category approach justification made available at the category level. Indicate if further information is included as attachment to the same record, or elsewhere in the dataset (insert links in 'Cross-reference' table)]  1. HYPOTHESIS FOR THE CATEGORY APPROACH (ENDPOINT LEVEL) [Describe why the read-across can be performed]  2. CATEGORY APPROACH JUSTIFICATION (ENDPOINT LEVEL [Summarise here based on available experimental data how these results verify that the read-across is justified] **Option 6 Type 'Weight of Evidence justification'** JUSTIFICATION FOR WEIGHT OF EVIDENCE - Relevance (including coverage) and reliability of each source of information compared with the study normally required for the information requirement. - Weighing of the sources of information (including overall coverage) to reach an overall conclusion for the information requirement. - Assessment of the uncertainty in the conclusion compared with the study normally required for the information requirement. | This field can be used for entering free text. As appropriate, one of the freetext templates can be selected (e.g. Justification for read-across (analogue)) to use pre-defined headers and bulleted elements. Delete/add elements as appropriate.  Consult any programme-specific guidance (e.g. OECD Programme, Pesticides NAFTA or EU REACH) on what should be taken into account when providing justifications or whether specific reporting formats should be used.  Explanations:  Option 1: Type 'Waiving of standard information':  This field should be used for entering any further lines of argumentation, if necessary, in addition to those provided in the field 'Justification for data waiving'.  Option 2: Type 'Experimental study planned / Testing proposal':  Further details can be entered here on the study design / methodology proposed in addition to details given in the distinct fields on test guideline, test material, species, route of administration and other relevant fields.  Option 3: Type 'QSAR prediction':  For describing a (Q)SAR model it is recommended to provide the QMRF as attachment instead of using the free text template.  The QSAR Model Reporting Format (QMRF) is a harmonised template for summarising and reporting key information on QSAR models, including the results of any validation studies. The information is structured according to the OECD validation principles and can be compiled using the QMRF editor application.  The JRC QSAR Model Database is intended to help to identify valid (Q)SARs (e.g. for the purpose of REACH). It provides information on the validity of QSAR models and can be browsed for published QMRFs.  Based on this freetext template details on the QSAR model used can be given, in addition to the information provided in field 'Principles of method if other than guideline'.  Please note: Any information that can be re-used for several study summaries can be entered once and then assigned to the relevant studies using either the 'Attached justification' or 'Cross-reference' feature.  Option 4: Type 'Read-across (analogue)' and Option 5: Type 'Read-across (category)'  This freetext template can be used and modified as appropriate for providing a justification for read-across, particularly if it is endpoint-specific.  Please note: Any information that can be re-used for several study summaries can be entered once and then assigned to the relevant studies using either the 'Attached justification' or 'Cross-reference' feature. |  |
|  | **Attached justification** | **Block of fields (repeatable) Start** |  | The Attached justification feature can be used in case the justification is best provided in form of attached document(s).  Copy this block of fields for attaching more than one file.  Refer to the relevant legislation-specific guidance document as to the recommended use of the Attached justification feature. |  |
|  | Attached justification | Attachment (single)  Display: Basic |  | Upload file by clicking the upload icon. |  |
|  | Reason / purpose | List sup. (picklist with remarks)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - data waiving: supporting information - exposure-related information - read-across: supporting information - (Q)SAR model reporting (QMRF) - (Q)SAR prediction reporting (QPRF) - (Q)SAR model and prediction reporting (QMRF/QPRF) - (Q)SAR: supporting information - weight of evidence: supporting information - justification, other: | Indicate the reason for / purpose of the attached document. Select the relevant item from the picklist or, if none applies, select 'justification, other:' and specify. |  |
|  | **Attached justification** | **Block of fields (repeatable) End** |  |  |  |
|  | **Cross-reference** | **Block of fields (repeatable) Start** |  | The cross-reference feature can be used to refer to related information that is provided in another record of the dataset. This can be done either by entering just free text in the 'Remarks' field or by creating a link to the relevant record. The field 'Reason / purpose' allows for selecting a standard reason from the picklist and optionally to add free text explanation in the related supplementary text field.  Refer to the relevant legislation-specific guidance document as to the recommended use of cross-references. |  |
|  | Reason / purpose for cross-reference | List sup. (picklist with remarks)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - adverse outcome pathway (AOP) - assessment report - data waiving: supporting information - defined approach - exposure-related information - method used in study - read-across source - (Q)SAR model reporting (QMRF) - read-across: supporting information - reference to other assay used for intermediate effect derivation - reference to other study - reference to same study - weight of evidence source - other: | Select the appropriate reason of the cross-reference, i.e.  - adverse outcome pathway (AOP) (in case the information is related to a key event that is part of an AOP). Consult the AOP wiki at: https://aopwiki.org) and provide the reference in the remarks field  - assessment report (for referring to a record that contains an assessment report as attachment)  - data waiving: supporting information (for referring to a record containing relevant endpoint information that is used to justify a data waiver)  - defined approach for combining with results from another methods (in vitro, in chimico, in silico)   - exposure-related information (for referring to a record containing exposure-related information that is used for instance to justify a data waiver)  - read-across source (for linking to another study summary used for read-across. This can be useful in cases where results are derived from one or several read-across sources and recorded in a separate (target) study summary.)  - read-across supporting information (for linking to another record which contains read-across justification that applies also for the current study summary)  - (Q)SAR model reporting (QMRF) (for referring to a record containing the relevant model description. Note: The (Q)SAR prediction should be reported specifically for each endpoint in the field 'Justification for type of information'.)  - reference to other assay used for intermediate effect derivation (for optional indication in a study summarising 'intermediate effects' if reference is made to the outcome of another assay)  - reference to same study (e.g. if different species were tested and the results recorded in different records),   - reference to other study (e.g. if another study is considered relevant in the interpretation of the test results),   - other: (to be specified). |  |
|  | Related information | Link to endpoint (single)  Display: Basic |  | As appropriate, select the record containing the related information, thus creating a link. | **Cross-reference:** AllSummariesAndRecords |
|  | Remarks | Text (32,768 char.)  Display: Basic |  | This field can be used for including any remarks. |  |
|  | **Cross-reference** | **Block of fields (repeatable) End** |  |  |  |
|  | **Data source** | **Header 1** |  |  |  |
|  | Reference | Link to lit. reference (multiple)  Display: Basic |  | Indicate the bibliographic reference of the study report or publication the study summary is based on. Provide general information such as Title, Author, Year, Bibliographic source, Testing Facility, Report Number, Study number, Report date etc., as requested in the core template for literature search (https://www.oecd.org/ehs/templates/Generic%20elements%20for%20all%20OHTs.zip).   Always enter the primary reference in the first block of fields or sort it to the first position, if there are more than one reference to be cited. Copy this block of fields for specifying any other references related to this record (e.g. report of a preliminary study or other documentation). If results of a study report have been published, indicate the full citation of that publication(s) in addition to the reference of the original study. |  |
|  | Data access | List sup. (picklist with remarks)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - data submitter is data owner - data submitter has Letter of Access - data no longer protected - data published - data submitter has permission to refer - not applicable - other: | Select appropriate indication for data access. Enter 'Not applicable' if the summary consists of information that is commonly accessible such as guidance on safe use.  Select 'data submitter has permission to refer' if the information requirement can be covered based on a permission to refer to old data as issued by the relevant regulatory agency. In addition, provide, in the adjacent free-text field, the statement according to instructions you received from the relevant regulatory authority together with the permission to refer. |  |
|  | Data protection claimed | List sup. (picklist with remarks)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - yes - yes, but willing to share - yes, but not willing to share | Indicate as appropriate. Note: 'yes' should be selected only if 'Data submitter is data owner' or 'Data submitter has Letter of Access'. Options 'yes, but willing to share' or 'yes, but not willing to share' may be relevant for specific regulatory programmes where the submitter is requested to indicate whether he is willing to share studies conducted (e.g. with vertebrates).  In the supplementary remarks field, include an explanation as appropriate, i.e. justification for denial of sharing the corresponding study or refer to a document attached that provides justification (e.g. 'for justification see attached document X') |  |
|  | **Materials and methods** | **Header 1** |  |  |  |
|  | Background information | Text (2,000 char.)  Display: Basic |  | Use this field to include any background information, if required, or any relevant introductory remarks on the study summary. Leave field empty if not applicable. Do not include information for which specific fields are provided. For instance, include any background information on the test substance in fields on 'Test materials'. |  |
|  | Product type | List sup. (picklist with remarks)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - acaricide crop protection - [pesticides] - animal direct treatment ectoparasite control - [pesticides] - animal premise treatment - [pesticides] - bactericide - [pesticides] - fungicide - [pesticides] - herbicide - [pesticides] - insecticide - [pesticides] - molluscicide - [pesticides] - nematicide - [pesticides] - plant growth regulator - [pesticides] - repellent - [pesticides] - rodenticide - [pesticides] - semio-chemical - [pesticides] - talpicide - [pesticides] - viricide - [pesticides] - PT01: Human hygiene - [biocides] - PT02: Disinfectants and algaecides not intended for direct application to humans or animals - [biocides] - PT03: Veterinary hygiene - [biocides] - PT04: Food and feed area - [biocides] - PT05: Drinking water - [biocides] - PT06: Preservatives for products during storage - [biocides] - PT07: Film preservatives - [biocides] - PT08: Wood preservatives - [biocides] - PT09: Fibre, leather, rubber and polymerised materials preservatives - [biocides] - PT10: Construction material preservatives - [biocides] - PT11: Preservatives for liquid-cooling and processing systems - [biocides] - PT12: Slimicides - [biocides] - PT13: Working or cutting fluid preservatives - [biocides] - PT14: Rodenticides - [biocides] - PT15: Avicides - [biocides] - PT16: Molluscicides, vermicides and products to control other invertebrates - [biocides] - PT17: Piscicides - [biocides] - PT18: Insecticides, acaricides and products to control other arthropods - [biocides] - PT19: Repellents and attractants - [biocides] - PT20: Control of other vertebrates - [biocides] - PT21: Antifouling products - [biocides] - PT22: Embalming and taxidermist fluids - [biocides] - other: | Indicate the product type addressed by the information entered in this record. Leave field empty if not applicable. |  |
|  | Type of study | List (picklist)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - livestock feeding - direct animal treatment - livestock feeding and direct animal treatment - animal premise treatment - other: | Indicate the type of study in terms of exposure source. Select either 'livestock feeding', 'direct animal treatment', 'livestock feeding and direct animal treatment', 'animal premise treatment' or 'other:' (specify). In the supplementary remarks field, you can add explanations as appropriate. |  |
|  | **Test guideline** | **Block of fields (repeatable) Start** |  | Indicate according to which test guideline the study was conducted. If no test guideline was explicitly followed, but the methodology used is equivalent or similar to a specific guideline, you can indicate so in the 'Qualifier' subfield preceding the field 'Guideline'.  Copy this block of fields for specifying more than one guideline (e.g. US EPA in addition to OECD guideline). |  |
|  | Qualifier | List (picklist)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - according to guideline - equivalent or similar to guideline - no guideline followed - no guideline available - no guideline required | Select appropriate qualifier, i.e.  - 'according to guideline' (if a given test guideline was followed);  - 'equivalent or similar to guideline' (if no test guideline was explicitly followed, but the methodology is equivalent or similar to a specific guideline);  - 'no guideline followed' (if none of above qualifiers apply. If so, fill in field 'Principles of method if other than guideline');  - 'no guideline available' (if so, fill in field 'Principles of method if other than guideline').  - 'no guideline required' (if so, fill in field 'Principles of method if other than guideline'). |  |
|  | Guideline | List (picklist)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - OECD Guideline 505 (Residues in Livestock) - EPA OPPTS 860.1480 (Residue Chemistry Test Guidelines: Meat / Milk / Poultry / Eggs) - PMRA Regulatory Directive DIR 98-02 - Residue Chemistry Guidelines, Section 8 - Meat/Milk/Poultry/Eggs - SANCO 7031/VI/95 rev.4 - SANTE 10252/2021 (Magnitude of residues in fish) - other: | Select the applicable test guideline, e.g. 'OECD Guideline xxx'. If the test guideline used is not listed, choose 'other:' and specify the test guideline in the related text field. Information on the version and date of the guideline used and/or any other specifics can be entered in the next field 'Version / remarks'.  If no test guideline can be specified, this should be indicated in the preceding field 'Qualifier'. The method used should then be shortly described in the field 'Principles of method if other than guideline', while details can be given in other distinct fields.  Please note: Test guidelines used for the validation of (Q)SAR models should be reported in the description of the relevant model in field 'Justification for type of information' or 'Attached justification'. | **Guidance for field condition:** Condition: Field active only if 'Qualifier' is not 'no guideline ...' **Guidance for data migration:** The field 'Draft / update' matches field 'Version / remarks'. |
|  | Version / remarks | Text (2,000 char.)  Display: Basic |  | In this text field, you can enter any remarks as applicable, particularly:  - To include any other title of the test guideline draft used, a subtitle, another version or update number and the year of update (For instance, different titles and/or numbers may exist for a given EU test guideline);  - To indicate if the study was performed prior to the adoption of the test guideline specified;  - To indicate if the methodology used was based on an extension of the test guideline specified;  - To indicate what protocol was followed for methods that allow the optional determination of more than one parameter if this cannot be indicated in a distinct field of the Materials and methods section. | **Guidance for field condition:** Condition: Field active only if 'Qualifier' is not 'no guideline ...' |
|  | Deviations | List sup. (picklist with remarks)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - yes - no - not applicable - not specified | In case a test guideline or other standardised method was used, indicate if there are any deviations. Briefly state relevant deviations in the supplementary remarks field (e.g. 'other test system used', 'different exposure duration'); details should be described in the respective fields of the section MATERIALS AND METHODS. | **Guidance for field condition:** Condition: Field active only if 'Qualifier' is not 'no guideline ...' |
|  | **Test guideline** | **Block of fields (repeatable) End** |  |  |  |
|  | Principles of method if other than guideline | Text template  Display: Basic | **Freetext template:  Option 1 Method of non-guideline study** - Principle of test: - Short description of test conditions: - Parameters analysed / observed: **Option 2 (Q)SAR** - Software tool(s) used including version: - Model(s) used: - Model description: see field 'Justification for non-standard information', 'Attached justification' and/or 'Cross-reference' - Justification of QSAR prediction: see field 'Justification for type of information', 'Attached justification' and/or 'Cross-reference' | If no guideline was followed, include a description of the principles of the test protocol or estimated method used in the study. As appropriate use either of the pre-defined freetext template options for 'Method of non-guideline study' or '(Q)SAR'. Delete / add elements and edit text set in square brackets [...] as appropriate.  For a non-guideline experimental study a high-level freetext template can be used for summarising the principle of test, test conditions and parameters analysed / observed.   If the freetext template for (Q)SAR is selected, indicate the QSAR model(s) or platform including version and the software tool(s) used. Detailed justification of the model and prediction should be provided in field(s) 'Justification for type of information', 'Attached justification' and/or 'Cross-reference' as appropriate.  Details should be entered in appropriate distinct fields of section MATERIALS AND METHODS if available. Also provide a justification for using this method if appropriate. |  |
|  | GLP compliance | List sup. (picklist with remarks)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - yes (incl. QA statement) - yes - no - not specified | Indicate whether the study was conducted following Good Laboratory Practice or not. In case 'yes’ is selected, a Quality Assurance (QA) statement must be provided with the report. You can give an explanation in the supplementary remarks field, e.g. for explaining why GLP was not complied with or for specifying which (national) GLP was followed. |  |
|  | **Test material** | **Header 2** |  |  |  |
|  | Test material information | Link to entity (single)  Display: Basic |  | Select the appropriate Test Material Information (TMI) record. If not available in the repository, create a new one. You may also copy (clone) an existing TMI record, edit it and store it as new TMI.  To change the link to an existing TMI, click the Delete button, then the Link button and proceed as described above.  Depending on the purpose of the reporting or data submission, the information that must be provided may change. As a minimum, the chemical name, identifier and/or CAS number and molecular weight must be provided. | **Cross-reference:** TEST\_MATERIAL\_INFORMATION |
|  | Additional test material information | Link to entity (multiple)  Display: Basic |  | Select additional Test material information record if relevant. For example, in longer terms studies more than one batch of test material can be needed or there may be differences between the labelled and unlabelled test materials. | **Cross-reference:** TEST\_MATERIAL\_INFORMATION |
|  | Specific details on test material used for the study | Text template  Display: Basic | **Freetext template:** SOURCE OF TEST MATERIAL - Source (i.e. manufacturer or supplier) and lot/batch number of test material: - Purity, including information on contaminants, isomers, etc.:  RADIOLABELLING INFORMATION (if applicable) - Radiochemical purity: - Specific activity: - Locations of the label: - Expiration date of radiochemical substance:  STABILITY AND STORAGE CONDITIONS OF TEST MATERIAL - Storage condition of test material: - Stability and homogeneity of the test material in the vehicle/solvent under test conditions (e.g. in the exposure medium) and during storage: - Stability in the medium, i.e. sensitivity of the test material to hydrolysis and/or photolysis: - Solubility and stability of the test material in the solvent/vehicle and the exposure medium: - Reactivity of the test material with the incubation material used (e.g. plastic ware):  TREATMENT OF TEST MATERIAL PRIOR TO TESTING - Treatment of test material prior to testing (e.g. warming, grinding): - Preliminary purification step (if any): - Final concentration of a dissolved solid, stock liquid or gel: - Final preparation of a solid (e.g. stock crystals ground to fine powder using a mortar and pestle):  FORM AS APPLIED IN THE TEST (if different from that of starting material) - Specify the relevant form characteristics if different from those in the starting material, such as state of aggregation, shape of particles or particle size distribution:  INFORMATION ON NANOMATERIALS - Chemical Composition: - Density: - Particle size & distribution: - Specific surface area: - Isoelectric point: - Dissolution (rate):  TYPE OF BIOCIDE/PESTICIDE FORMULATION (if applicable) - Description of the formulation, e.g. formulated product for foliar application; formulated product soil application; solution in organic solvent for soil application; formulated product seed treatment; solution in organic solvent seed treatment:  OTHER SPECIFICS - Other relevant information needed for characterising the tested material, e.g. if radiolabelled, adjustment of pH, osmolality and precipitate in the culture medium to which the test chemical is added: | Use this field for reporting specific details on the test material as used for the study if they differ from the starting material specified under 'Test material information'. This can include information on the pre-defined items, but not all or additional ones may be relevant.  Use freetext template and delete/add elements as appropriate. Enter any details that could be relevant for evaluating this study summary or that are requested by the respective regulatory programme. Consult the programme-specific guidance (e.g. OECD Programme, Pesticides NAFTA or EU REACH) thereof.  If applicable, relevant available information on the following items should be given:  SOURCE OF TEST MATERIAL  - Source and lot/batch No. of test material  - Expiration date of the lot/batch  - Purity test date: provide if available  RADIOLABELLING INFORMATION  - Radiochemical purity  - Specific activity  - Locations of the label  - Expiration date of radiochemical substance  STABILITY AND STORAGE CONDITIONS OF TEST MATERIAL  - Storage condition of test material  - Stability under test conditions  - Solubility and stability of the test substance in the solvent/vehicle  - Reactivity of the test substance with the solvent/vehicle or the cell culture medium  TREATMENT OF TEST MATERIAL PRIOR TO TESTING  - Treatment of test material prior to testing (e.g. warming, grinding)  - Preliminary purification step  - Final dilution of a soluble solid, stock liquid, or gel (e.g., neat liquid, stock diluted liquid, or dissolved solid) to final concentration and the solvent(s) used  - Final preparation of a solid (e.g. stock crystals ground to fine powder using a mortar and pestle)  FORM AS APPLIED IN THE TEST (if different from that of starting material)  Specify the relevant form characteristics if different from those in the starting material, such as state of aggregation, shape of particles or particle size distribution.  FORMULATED PRODUCT (for biocides/pesticides)  Description of the formulation, e.g. formulated product for foliar application; formulated product soil application; solution in organic solvent for soil application: formulated product seed treatment; solution in organic solvent seed treatment.  OTHER SPECIFICS  Provide any other relevant information needed for characterising the tested material. |  |
|  | Specific details on test material used for the study (confidential) | Text template  Display: Basic (Confidential) | **Freetext template:** SOURCE OF TEST MATERIAL - Source (i.e. manufacturer or supplier) and lot/batch number of test material: - Purity, including information on contaminants, isomers, etc.:  RADIOLABELLING INFORMATION (if applicable) - Radiochemical purity: - Specific activity: - Locations of the label: - Expiration date of radiochemical substance:  STABILITY AND STORAGE CONDITIONS OF TEST MATERIAL - Storage condition of test material: - Stability and homogeneity of the test material in the vehicle/solvent under test conditions (e.g. in the exposure medium) and during storage: - Stability in the medium, i.e. sensitivity of the test material to hydrolysis and/or photolysis: - Solubility and stability of the test material in the solvent/vehicle and the exposure medium: - Reactivity of the test material with the incubation material used (e.g. plastic ware):  TREATMENT OF TEST MATERIAL PRIOR TO TESTING - Treatment of test material prior to testing (e.g. warming, grinding): - Preliminary purification step (if any): - Final concentration of a dissolved solid, stock liquid or gel: - Final preparation of a solid (e.g. stock crystals ground to fine powder using a mortar and pestle):  FORM AS APPLIED IN THE TEST (if different from that of starting material) - Specify the relevant form characteristics if different from those in the starting material, such as state of aggregation, shape of particles or particle size distribution:  INFORMATION ON NANOMATERIALS - Chemical Composition: - Density: - Particle size & distribution: - Specific surface area: - Isoelectric point: - Dissolution (rate):  TYPE OF BIOCIDE/PESTICIDE FORMULATION (if applicable) - Description of the formulation, e.g. formulated product for foliar application; formulated product soil application; solution in organic solvent for soil application; formulated product seed treatment; solution in organic solvent seed treatment:  OTHER SPECIFICS - Other relevant information needed for characterising the tested material, e.g. if radiolabelled, adjustment of pH, osmolality and precipitate in the culture medium to which the test chemical is added: | Use this field for reporting specific details on the test material as used for the study if they differ from the starting material specified under 'Test material information'. This can include information on the pre-defined items, but not all or additional ones may be relevant.  Use freetext template and delete/add elements as appropriate. Enter any details that could be relevant for evaluating this study summary or that are requested by the respective regulatory programme. Consult the programme-specific guidance (e.g. OECD Programme, Pesticides NAFTA or EU REACH) thereof.  If applicable, relevant available information on the following items should be given:  SOURCE OF TEST MATERIAL  - Source and lot/batch No. of test material  - Expiration date of the lot/batch  - Purity test date: provide if available  RADIOLABELLING INFORMATION  - Radiochemical purity  - Specific activity  - Locations of the label  - Expiration date of radiochemical substance  STABILITY AND STORAGE CONDITIONS OF TEST MATERIAL  - Storage condition of test material  - Stability under test conditions  - Solubility and stability of the test substance in the solvent/vehicle  - Reactivity of the test substance with the solvent/vehicle or the cell culture medium  TREATMENT OF TEST MATERIAL PRIOR TO TESTING  - Treatment of test material prior to testing (e.g. warming, grinding)  - Preliminary purification step  - Final dilution of a soluble solid, stock liquid, or gel (e.g., neat liquid, stock diluted liquid, or dissolved solid) to final concentration and the solvent(s) used  - Final preparation of a solid (e.g. stock crystals ground to fine powder using a mortar and pestle)  FORM AS APPLIED IN THE TEST (if different from that of starting material)  Specify the relevant form characteristics if different from those in the starting material, such as state of aggregation, shape of particles or particle size distribution.  FORMULATED PRODUCT (for biocides/pesticides)  Description of the formulation, e.g. formulated product for foliar application; formulated product soil application; solution in organic solvent for soil application: formulated product seed treatment; solution in organic solvent seed treatment.  OTHER SPECIFICS  Provide any other relevant information needed for characterising the tested material. |  |
|  | **Test animals** | **Header 2** |  |  |  |
|  | Species | List multi. (multi-select list)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - cow - fish - fish (Atlantic salmon) - fish (common carp) - fish (rainbow trout) - goat - hen - sheep - swine - other: | Select name of species. Multiple selection is possible for indicating additional species, if the studies are summarised in one record. However, it may be sensible or required according to legislation-specific guidance to use separate records for each animal species studied. You can include a cross-reference, in field 'Same study also described in chapter:', to the record where the methodology is described in detail. |  |
|  | Details on housing conditions and test animals | Text template  Display: Detailed | **Freetext template:** TEST ANIMALS  - Breed:   - Age at study initiation:   - Weight at study initiation (kg):   - Stage of development:   - Health status:   - Condition of animals:   - Mode of identification:     HOUSING / HOLDING AREA  - Size of enclosures:   - Individual vs. group housing:   - Food and water containers:   - Temperature:   - Lighting:   - Waste handling: | Include details on housing conditions and test animals. Use freetext template and delete/add elements as appropriate, or upload predefined table(s), if any, in rich text field 'Any other information on materials and methods incl. tables' or adapt table(s) from study report. Use table numbers in the sequence in which you refer to them in the text (e.g. '... see Table 1').  The following information should be addressed:  HOUSING / HOLDING AREA: Describe the test facilities, i.e. animal housing including size of enclosures, individual vs. group housing, food and water containers, temperature, lighting, and waste handling.  TEST ANIMALS: Include information on breed, age, weight, stage of development, health status and condition of test animals. |  |
|  | Details on dietary regime | Text template  Display: Detailed | **Freetext template:** - Composition of diet:   - Feed consumption:   - Water:   - Acclimation period: | Include details on dietary regime. Use freetext template and delete/add elements as appropriate, upload predefined table(s), if any, in rich text field 'Any other information on materials and methods incl. tables' or adapt table(s) from study report. Use table numbers in the sequence in which you refer to them in the text (e.g. '... see Table 1').  The following information should be addressed:  - Composition of diet: Describe the diet of animals during acclimation and the dosing period regarding: (1) Types of feed (e.g., corn grain, layers mash, alfalfa pellets) and liquids; (2) Quantities provided (i.e., specific amounts or ad libitum).  - Feed consumption: Report the feed consumption (dry weight for ruminants) on an individual or treatment group basis throughout the study.  - Water: Report water consumption  - Acclimation period: specify |  |
|  | **Administration / exposure** | **Header 2** |  |  |  |
|  | Treatment type (route of exposure) | List multi. (multi-select list)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - dermal - inhalation - oral: applied on feed - oral: capsule - oral: gavage - oral: ingestion of bait - oral: licking - oral: unspecified - premise treatment - other: | Select the treatment type used which determines the primary route of exposure in the study. Multiple selection is possible if, in specific situations, direct application of a product to livestock was studied in addition to exposure through feeding of treated crops. |  |
|  | Frequency of dosing | Text (2,000 char.)  Display: Basic |  | The frequency of application / dosing if the test material is not incorporated into the total diet or feed.  Note: Reporting of the dates of the initial and final doses/applications may also be required. Consult the programme-specific guidance (e.g. OECD HPVC, Pesticides NAFTA or EU REACH) thereof. |  |
|  | Dosing duration | Numeric (decimal including unit)  Display: Basic | **Unit [xx]:** - d - other: | Indicate the total length of the dosing period (e.g. 20 days). |  |
|  | **Doses / concentrations** | **Block of fields (repeatable) Start** |  | Indicate the dose or concentration levels applied and the basis of quantity used. Copy this block of fields for each numeric value and to record values on a different basis, i.e. mg/kg bw/day (nominal), mg/kg bw/day (actual dose received), mg/kg diet ,mg/L drinking water, mg/kg bw (total dose), ppm if applicable. Conversion of the dose / conc. values to the relevant unit used for the effect levels may be required.  If diet is the route of administration, report the level of the test material in the total diet in parts per million (mg/kg feed) (dry weight basis for ruminants). |  |
|  | Dose / conc. | Numeric (decimal including unit)  Display: Basic | **Unit [xx]:** - mg/L drinking water - mg/kg bw (total dose) - mg/kg bw/day (actual dose received) - mg/kg bw/day (nominal) - mg/kg diet - mg/kg feed DM - ppm - microbial active substances - cells/L drinking water - cells/kg bw (total dose) - cells/kg bw/day (actual dose received) - cells/kg bw/day (nominal) - cells/kg diet - CFU/L drinking water - CFU/kg bw (total dose) - CFU/kg bw/day (actual dose received) - CFU/kg bw/day (nominal) - CFU/kg diet - ITU/L drinking water - ITU/kg bw (total dose) - ITU/kg bw/day (actual dose received) - ITU/kg bw/day (nominal) - ITU/kg diet - IU/L drinking water - IU/kg bw (total dose) - IU/kg bw/day (actual dose received) - IU/kg bw/day (nominal) - IU/kg diet - OB/L drinking water - OB/kg bw (total dose) - OB/kg bw/day (actual dose received) - OB/kg bw/day (nominal) - OB/kg diet - spores/kg bw (total dose) - spores/kg bw/day (actual dose received) - spores/kg bw/day (nominal) - spores/kg diet - spores/L drinking water - nanoforms - particles/L drinking water - particles/kg bw (total dose) - particles/kg bw/day (actual dose received) - particles/kg bw/day (nominal) - particles/kg diet - surface area/L drinking water - surface area/kg bw (total dose) - surface area/kg bw/day (actual dose received) - surface area/kg bw/day (nominal) - surface area/kg diet - other: | Enter numeric value. |  |
|  | Remarks | Text (2,000 char.)  Display: Basic |  | Enter any remarks related to dose / concentration values, e.g. feeding level. |  |
|  | **Doses / concentrations** | **Block of fields (repeatable) End** |  |  |  |
|  | Details on dosing | Text template  Display: Basic | **Freetext template:** PREPARATION OF DOSE  - Method of preparation:   - Date of dose preparation and storage conditions prior to its administration:     RATIONALE FOR SELECTION OF DOSE LEVELS  - Level of intake expected:   - Exaggerated levels:     ANALYSIS OF SPIKED FEED  - Method used to analyse spiked feeds:   - Results of such analyses:     DOSING REGIME: see Table [#?] | Include further details on the preparation of dose and the dosing regime. If diet is the route of administration, use freetext template (delete/add elements as appropriate) or formulate otherwise or upload predefined table(s), if any, in rich text field 'Any other information on materials and methods incl. tables' or adapt table(s) from study report. Use table numbers in the sequence in which you refer to them in the text (e.g. '... see Table 1').  The following information should be addressed:  PREPARATION OF DOSE:  Describe the method of preparation of the dose (mixing with feed or concentrate ration, gelatin capsule, bolus, etc.). Indicate the date of dose preparation and storage conditions prior to its administration.  RATIONALE FOR SELECTION OF DOSE LEVELS: Briefly describe, i.e. Level of intake expected, Exaggerated levels. Provide justification for other than the recommended dosing scheme.  ANALYSIS OF SPIKED FEED: Describe the method used to analyse spiked feeds and the results of such analyses. If the methodology is described in chapter 'Analytical methods', you can include a cross-reference to that record in the block 'Cross-reference'.  DOSING REGIME: Using an appropriate predefined table indicate the dosing regime used.  Note: Specific tables may be required. Consult the programme-specific guidance (e.g. OECD HPVC, Pesticides NAFTA or EU REACH) thereof. |  |
|  | No. of animals per dose group | Text (2,000 char.)  Display: Basic |  | Report the number of animals per dose group, e.g. per feeding level. |  |
|  | Control animals | List multi. (multi-select list with remarks)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - yes - yes, concurrent no treatment - yes, concurrent vehicle - yes, plain diet - yes, historical - no - other: - not specified | Indicate whether and what type of concurrent control groups were used. Multiple selection is possible. If not listed, select 'other' and specify. |  |
|  | **Further details on study design** | **Header 2** |  |  |  |
|  | Further details on study design | Text (32,768 char.)  Display: Detailed |  | Include any further relevant details on the study design. |  |
|  | Details on sampling and analytical methods | Text template  Display: Detailed | **Freetext template:** IN-LIFE SAMPLING  - Milk / eggs collected:   - Amount of milk and number of eggs produced during normal production:   - Urine, faeces, cage wash collected:     POST-SLAUGTHER SAMPLING   - Mode of sacrifice:   - Interval from last dose or treatment to sacrifice:   - Tissue harvested and their weights:   - Specification of and combining of samples from different animals:     SAMPLE HANDLING AND PREPARATION   - Sample preparation prior to storage:   - Containers:   - Storage temperature:   - Length of storage:   - Mode of shipping, if applicable:     ANALYTICAL METHODOLOGY   - Detailed description of method for each chemical species measured:   - Experimental design of validation studies:   - Analytical responses of standards: Copies of calibration curves attached   - Sample calculations of residue levels and percent recoveries: Copies attached   - LOD: - LOQ: | Include details on the sampling, handling and preparation of samples and the analytical methodology applied. Use freetext template and delete/add elements as appropriate, or upload predefined table(s), if any, in rich text field 'Any other information on materials and methods incl. tables' or adapt table(s) from study report. Use table numbers in the sequence in which you refer to them in the text (e.g. '... see Table 1').  The following information should be addressed:  IN-LIFE SAMPLING  - Milk / eggs collected: Explain the collection of milk and eggs with any deviations from normal practice explained. Note compositing or pooling of samples; no pooling of milk from animals within a dosage group.  - Amount of milk and number of eggs produced during normal production: Provide data as indicated.  - Urine, faeces, cage wash collected: For feed-through pesticides, include data on urine, feces and cage wash.  POST-SLAUGTHER SAMPLING  - Mode of sacrifice: Describe  - Interval from last dose or treatment to sacrifice: Describe the time interval in hours or days between time of sacrifice and administration of last dose or application of final treatment. Give an explanation of intervals longer than 24 hours and consideration of their effect on residues.  - Tissue harvested and their weights: Indicate the tissues taken after sacrifice, their type (e.g., thigh muscle, omental fat, etc.), and their weights.  - Specification of and combining of samples from different animals: Indicate if pooling was done (usually acceptable for poultry, but not ruminants).  SAMPLE HANDLING AND PREPARATION: Describe the handling of tissues, eggs and milk between sample collection and storage addressing at least following items:  - Sample preparation prior to storage: e.g., chopping  - Containers  - Storage temperature  - Length of storage: Include dates of collection, shipping, analysis, etc.  - Mode of shipping, if applicable:  ANALYTICAL METHODOLOGY  - Description of instrumentation, equipment and reagents used: Give a detailed description of the analytical method employed to measure residues and listing of which chemical species were measured (parent pesticide, metabolites). If the methodology is described in chapter 'Analytical methods', you can include a cross-reference to that record in the block 'Cross-reference'.  - Extraction schemes: state 'see graphic attached' if a figure is attached in field 'Illustration (picture/graph)' in 'Overall remarks, attachments'.   - Description of extraction and fractionation of radioactivity in each matrix  - Chromatographic and spectroscopic behaviour of radioactive residues in extracts of animal matrices, parent, metabolites, and reference standards  - The LOQ for all animal matrices analysed and, if available, the LOD and a description of how the LOQ and LOD were determined  Note: Specific tables may be required. Consult the programme-specific guidance (e.g. OECD HPVC, Pesticides NAFTA or EU REACH) thereof. |  |
|  | **Any other information on materials and methods incl. tables** | **Header 2** |  |  |  |
|  |  | Text (rich-text area)  Display: Basic |  | In this field, you can enter any information on materials and methods, for which no distinct field is available, or transfer free text from other databases. You can also open a rich text editor and create formatted text and tables or insert and edit any excerpt from a word processing or spreadsheet document, provided it was converted to the HTML format. You can also upload any htm or html document.  Note: One rich text editor field each is provided for the MATERIALS AND METHODS and RESULTS section. In addition the fields 'Overall remarks' and 'Executive summary' allow rich text entry. |  |
|  | **Results and discussion** | **Header 1** |  |  |  |
|  | Storage stability | Text (32,768 char.)  Display: Basic |  | Provide storage stability data showing the behavior of residues as a function of time in tissues, milk, and eggs. Where samples were not analysed within 30 days, provide evidence showing that the storage did not affect the results of the study.  As appropriate, upload predefined table(s), if any, in rich text field 'Any other information on results incl. tables' or adapt table(s) from study report. Use table numbers in the sequence in which you refer to them in the text (e.g. '... see Table 1').  Note: Specific tables may be required. Consult the programme-specific guidance (e.g. OECD HPVC, Pesticides NAFTA or EU REACH) thereof. |  |
|  | **Residue data** | **Block of fields (repeatable) Start** |  | Enter a consecutive sampling number and specify the matrix / tissue sampled, sampling time and dose/feeding level. In a nested repeatable block, multiple analytes and repetitions can be recorded with the residue levels.  Copy this block of fields for each different sampling instance. |  |
|  | Animal no. | List multi. (multi-select list with remarks)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - #1 - #2 - #3 - #4 - #5 - #6 - #7 - #8 - #9 - #10 - #11 - #12 - #13 - #14 - #15 - #16 - #17 - #18 - #19 - #20 - #21 - #22 - #23 - #24 - #25 - #26 - #27 - #28 - #29 - #30 - #31 - #32 - #33 - #34 - #35 - #36 - #37 - #38 - #39 - #40 - other: | Results should be associated to each animal individually. Therefore, please enter here the Animal No to which the following results correspond. For example, in a study performed on ruminants, this should be animal 1, 2 or 3. |  |
|  | Feeding level | Numeric (integer)  Display: Basic |  | Specify the feeding level. |  |
|  | Dose (mg/kg bw) | Numeric (decimal)  Display: Basic |  | Specify the dose in mg/kg bw. |  |
|  | Matrix / tissue sampled | List sup. (picklist with remarks)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - edible meat by-products: kidney - edible meat by-products: liver - edible meat by-products: other - edible meat by-products: perirenal fat - edible meat by-products: skeletal muscle - edible meat by-products: subcutaneous fat / backfat - eggs - fish carcass - fish fillet and skin - fish liver - meat - milk - skin - skin with fat - other: | Select the matrix / tissue analysed from the drop-down list. Further details can be entered as free text in the related supplementary text field, for instance the animal number. If not listed, select 'other:' and specify. |  |
|  | Sampling date | Text (255 char.)  Display: Basic |  | Report the days after the first dose or the actual date in ISO 8601 format (e.g. 2021-05-22). |  |
|  | Sampling time | List (picklist)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - morning - noon - afternoon - other: | Report phase of the day the sampling took place, for milk/egg sampling. |  |
|  | Slaughter interval | Numeric (decimal including unit)  Display: Basic | **Unit [xx]:** - min - h - d - other: | Report time between last dose and sacrifice, for tissue. |  |
|  | Additional information on the sampling procedure | Text (2,000 char.)  Display: Basic |  | Report any additional information regarding the sampling procedure, if applicable. |  |
|  | **Analyte measured** | **Block of fields (repeatable) Start** |  | Specify residue level of each analyte determined for this sampling instance. Copy this block of fields for recording the results of repetitions and for multiple analytes. |  |
|  | Analyte identity | Link to entity (single)  Display: Basic |  | Click the Link button to navigate to the Substances Inventory and select the relevant substance name for indicating the identity (i.e. CAS number, CAS name, IUPAC name, SMILES code, molecular formula, structural formula etc.). If not available in the inventory, create a new one.  Once stored in the Substances Inventory a reference substance can be re-used in the data set.  Depending on the user interface of the software used the identity of the reference substance may only be displayed in a shortened form (e.g. comprising the CAS and IUPAC name), with a link for navigating to the actual record containing the reference substance information. | **Cross-reference:** REFERENCE\_SUBSTANCE |
|  | **Residue level** | **Block of fields (repeatable) Start** |  | Enter the result as measured (i.e. based on the measured analyte), without re-calculation and correction for storage stability. |  |
|  | Analysed sample ID | Text (255 char.)  Display: Basic |  | Report the sample number/ID that was measured. |  |
|  | Residue level | Numeric range (decimal with picklist)  Display: Basic | **Lower numeric field [xx]:** - > - >= - ca. **Upper numeric field [xx]:** - < - <= - ca. **Picklist values:** - µg/g - ng/g - mg/g - mg/kg - ppm - ppb - ppt - other: | Report the measured level of the residue mentioned afore. |  |
|  | **Residue level** | **Block of fields (repeatable) End** |  |  |  |
|  | Mean residue level | Numeric (decimal including unit)  Display: Basic | **Unit [xx]:** - µg/g - ng/g - mg/g - mg/kg - ppm - ppb - ppt - other: | Enter the mean residue level of the replicates data provided above |  |
|  | Remarks | Text (255 char.)  Display: Basic |  | Enter any additional information, e.g. the storage stability factor and how it was used in cases the residue level is based on a corrected value. Also correction by recovery if any can be indicated. |  |
|  | **Analyte measured** | **Block of fields (repeatable) End** |  |  |  |
|  | Total / mean | Numeric (decimal including unit)  Display: Basic | **Unit [xx]:** - µg/g - ng/g - mg/g - mg/kg - ppm - ppb - ppt - other: | Specify the total (mean) of the parent compound and the metabolites, for instance if the residue definition was determined for enforcement purposes. |  |
|  | **Residue data** | **Block of fields (repeatable) End** |  |  |  |
|  | Recoveries | Text (32,768 char.)  Display: Detailed |  | Provide recovery percentages (all values, not just averages or ranges) for the test substance and/or its metabolites for tissues, milk, and eggs fortified with these compounds.  If the method is described in another record, you can include a reference to that method description using the 'Cross-reference' feature.  As appropriate, upload predefined table(s), if any, in rich text field 'Any other information on results incl. tables' or adapt table(s) from study report. Use table numbers in the sequence in which you refer to them in the text (e.g. '... see Table 1').  Note: Specific tables may be required. Consult the programme-specific guidance (e.g. OECD HPVC, Pesticides NAFTA or EU REACH) thereof. |  |
|  | Depuration | Text (32,768 char.)  Display: Detailed |  | Provide the results of depuration studies, if any. If a separate depuration study was done, you can include a reference to that record using the 'Cross-reference' feature.  As appropriate, upload predefined table(s), if any, in rich text field 'Any other information on results incl. tables' or adapt table(s) from study report. Use table numbers in the sequence in which you refer to them in the text (e.g. '... see Table 1').  Note: Specific tables may be required. Consult the programme-specific guidance (e.g. OECD HPVC, Pesticides NAFTA or EU REACH) thereof. |  |
|  | Residue transfer | Text (32,768 char.)  Display: Detailed |  | Outline the conclusion reached as to whether residues of the pesticide transfer from feed items, direct application or livestock housing treatment to meat, milk and eggs. If so, discuss the extent of transfer. Indicate the time needed to reach a plateau level in eggs and milk, respectively.  The results can be summarized in a table (the preferable format) showing either the ranges or maximum residues in type each of sample for each feeding level.  As appropriate, upload predefined table(s), if any, in rich text field 'Any other information on results incl. tables' or adapt table(s) from study report. Use table numbers in the sequence in which you refer to them in the text (e.g. '... see Table 1').  Note: Specific tables may be required. Consult the programme-specific guidance (e.g. OECD HPVC, Pesticides NAFTA or EU REACH) thereof. |  |
|  | **Any other information on results incl. tables** | **Header 2** |  |  |  |
|  |  | Text (rich-text area)  Display: Basic |  | In this field, you can enter any other remarks on results. You can also open a rich text editor and create formatted text and tables or insert and edit any excerpt from a word processing or spreadsheet document, provided it was converted to the HTML format.  Note: One rich text editor field each is provided for the MATERIALS AND METHODS and RESULTS section. In addition the fields 'Overall remarks' and 'Executive summary' allow rich text entry. |  |
|  | **Overall remarks, attachments** | **Header 1** |  |  |  |
|  | Overall remarks | Text (rich-text area)  Display: Basic |  | In this field, you can enter any overall remarks or transfer free text from other databases. You can also open a rich text editor and create formatted text and tables or insert and edit any excerpt from a word processing or spreadsheet document, provided it was converted to the HTML format. You can also upload any htm or html document.  Note: One rich text editor field each is provided for the MATERIALS AND METHODS and RESULTS section. In addition the fields 'Overall remarks' and 'Executive summary' allow rich text entry. |  |
|  | **Attachments** | **Block of fields (repeatable) Start** |  | Attach any background document that cannot be inserted in any rich text editor field, particularly image files (e.g. an image of a structural formula).  Copy this block of fields for attaching more than one file. |  |
|  | Type | List (picklist)  Display: Basic | **Picklist values:** - full study report - illustration (picture/graph) - other: | Specify the type of attachment inserted, for example the 'full study report'. |  |
|  | Attached (confidential) document | Attachment (single)  Display: Basic (Confidential) |  | An electronic copy of the full study report or other documents can be attached as Word, pdf or other file types. |  |
|  | Attached (sanitised) documents for publication | Attachment (single)  Display: Basic |  | An electronic copy of a public (non-confidential) version of the full study report or other relevant documents can be attached. This attachment should be sanitised if needed. |  |
|  | Remarks | Text (255 char.)  Display: Basic |  | As appropriate, include remarks, e.g. a short description of the content of the attached document if the file name is not self-explanatory. |  |
|  | **Attachments** | **Block of fields (repeatable) End** |  |  |  |
|  | **Applicant's summary and conclusion** | **Header 1** |  |  |  |
|  | Conclusions | Text (32,768 char.)  Display: Basic |  | Enter any conclusions if applicable in addition to the information given in fields 'Key results' and 'Interpretation of results' (if any). |  |
|  | Executive summary | Text (rich-text area)  Display: Basic |  | If relevant for the respective regulatory programme, briefly summarise the relevant aspects of the study including the conclusions reached. If a specific format is prescribed, copy it from the corresponding document or upload it if provided as htm or html document.  Consult the programme-specific guidance (e.g. OECD Programme, Pesticides NAFTA or EU REACH) thereof. |  |